

# ROBOTIC VS. LAPAROSCOPIC SACROCOLPOPEXY WITH ANTERIOR AND POSTERIOR MESH PLACEMENT:

A comparative 12-Month follow-up study in a single tertiary center

Caceiro R<sup>1</sup>, Ferronha F<sup>1</sup>, Gil M<sup>1</sup>, Cunha J<sup>1</sup>, Silva P<sup>1</sup>, Lança M<sup>1</sup>, Gomes A<sup>1</sup>, Meireles A<sup>1</sup>, Pereira P<sup>1</sup>, Campos Pinheiro L<sup>1</sup>
1. ULS São José, Lisbon, Portugal

"RASC has similar safety and effectiveness to LSC, but faster recovery and better Patient-Reported Outcomes (PROs), specially in terms of Colorectal-anal function."

## **Hypothesis**

Compare robot-assisted sacrocolpopexy (RASC) vs. laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy (LSC) with anterior & posterior mesh placement for pelvic organ prolapse (POP) correction over 12 months.



### **Methods**

Retrospective cohort, single tertiary center; all surgeries by or under supervision of same senior surgeon.

### **Primary endpoints:**

- Objective success (POP-Q)
- · Subjective success (PFIQ-7, Wexner score)

### **Secondary endpoints:**

- Operative time, blood loss, hospital stay
- Complications ≥ Clavien-Dindo II
- Mesh-related complications
- Reinterventions



# **KEY RESULTS**



N=44 (RASC: 23 | LSC: 21)



**Operative time:** Shorter in **LSC** (198 min vs. 221 min, p=0.19)



Blood loss: Similar (~58 mL)



**Length of stay:** Shorter in **LSC** (1.17 vs. 2.33 days, p=0.001)

### Objective success at 12 months:



RASC 100% LSC 85.7%

### Reoperation rate:



RASC 0% LSC 14.3%

(p=0.06)

Complications: Comparable rates; No mesh-related complications.

# PATIENT REPORTED OUTCOMES:

**PFIQ-7 total score:**No significant difference

CRAIQ-7 section: Significantly better in RASC (38.4 vs. 52.9; p<0.001)

# Conclusions

Both approaches are **safe and highly effective** for POP repair with mesh.

### **RASC** demonstrated:

- Trend toward higher success rate
- Faster recovery (shorter hospital stay)
- Significant improvement in colorectal—anal function (CRAIQ-7)

RASC should be considered when aiming for rapid recovery and improved pelvic function.

Larger prospective studies are needed to confirm these benefits long-term.