369

Solomon E¹, Araklitis G², Thiagamoorthy G², Hunter J², Rantell A², Greenwell T³, Robinson D², Cardozo L² **1.** *Guy's and St Thomas NHS Trust,* **2.** *King's College Hospital NHS Trust,* **3.** *University College London Hospital NHS Trust*

VOIDING PARAMETERS OF WOMEN WITH DE NOVO VOIDING LOWER URINARY TRACT SYMPTOMS AFTER STRESS URINARY INCONTINENCE SURGERY

Hypothesis / aims of study

When de novo voiding LUTS are reported after a patient is treated with stress urinary incontinence (SUI) surgery, newly increased urethral resistance or pre-existing detrusor underactivity may account for symptoms. The aim of our study is to assess voiding parameters of women with de novo voiding lower urinary tract symptoms after SUI surgery

Study design, materials and methods

We reviewed the post-SUI surgery urodynamic studies of 40 consecutive patients with new on set voiding LUTS (poor flow, straining to void and a feeling of incomplete emptying). We documented the SUI surgery, Pdet.Qmax, Qmax and post void residual. We classified the voids as obstructed or an obstructed using the Solomon-Greenwell nomogram cut off $P_{det.Qmax} = 2.2^{*}Q_{max} + 5$.

Results

The mean (\pm SD) age for the 40 patients reviewed was 55.7 \pm 11.5 years. 12 patients (30%) demonstrated urodynamically obstructed voiding. The P_{det.Qmax}, Q_{max} and post void residual for both the obstructed and unobstructed patients is shown in table 1 below.

Interpretation of results

Our results suggest 70% of de novo voiding LUTS are due to detrusor underactivity. In 30% of cases, the post-operatively increased urethral resistance results in urodynamically obstructed voiding. In these obstructed patients, significant post void residuals are demonstrated (mean 150 ml). Although there is statistical no difference in the distribution of SUI procedure between the two groups, the ratio suggests a colposuspension is less likely to result in obstruction.

Concluding message

Detrusor underactivity and bladder outlet obstruction accounts for 70% and 30% of de novo voiding LUTS after SUI surgery respectively. Voiding parameters before SUI surgery may identify patients who have a higher risk of developing de novo voiding LUTS so may be appropriately counselled and followed-up.

Void	n	Mean (<u>+</u> SD) Pdet.Qmax (cmH20)	Mean (<u>+</u> SD) Qmax (ml/s)	Mean (<u>+</u> SD) PVR (ml)	SUI surgery
Obstructed	12	25.0 <u>+</u> 6.4	21.1 <u>+</u> 7.1	31 <u>+</u> 64.5	TVT n=10 TVT-O n=2
Unobstructed	28	44.1 <u>+</u> 12.4	9.1 <u>+</u> 4.0	150 <u>+</u> 103	TVT n=15 TVT-O n=3 Colposuspension n=9 Bulking agent n= 1
Total = 40					

Table 1: The Pdet.Qmax, Qmax and post void residual for both the obstructed and unobstructed patients as well as the SUI procedure




Figure 1: The Pdet.Qmax and Qmax value for patients with de novo voiding LUTS after SUI surgery

References

1. Solomon E, Yasmin H, Jenks J, Pakzad M, Hamid R, Ockrim J, Shah J, Greenwell TJ. The development and validation of a female bladder outlet obstruction nomogram, J Urol 191(4S) e882, 2014

Disclosures

Funding: None Clinical Trial: No Subjects: HUMAN Ethics not Req'd: Retrospective review of clinical data Helsinki: Yes Informed Consent: No